



BRAD BRIAN (State Bar No. 79001)
Brad.Brian@mto.com

Signed and Filed: November 14, 2019

THOMAS B. WALPER (State Bar No. 96
thomas.walper@mto.com

HENRY WEISSMANN (State Bar No. 13
henry.weissmann@mto.com

BRADLEY SCHNEIDER (State Bar No. 13
bradley.schneider@mto.com

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Dennis Montali", is written over a horizontal line.

DENNIS MONTALI
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

350 South Grand Avenue

Fiftieth Floor

Los Angeles, California 90071

Telephone: (213) 683-9100

Facsimile: (213) 683-3702

*Proposed Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re

PG&E CORPORATION,

-and-

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,
Debtors.

- ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company
☒ Affects both Debtors

Bankruptcy Case
No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case)

(Jointly Administered)

**ORDER AMENDING ORDER
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 327(e) AND
FED. R. BANKR. P. 2014(a) AND 2016
FOR AUTHORITY TO RETAIN AND
EMPLOY MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON
LLP AS COUNSEL FOR CERTAIN
MATTERS AS OF THE PETITION DATE**

[No hearing requested]

[Relates to Dkt. No. 1677]

1 Upon the application, dated October 28, 2019 (the “**Second Application to Amend**”), of
2 PG&E Corporation (“**PG&E Corp.**”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “**Utility**”), as
3 debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**”) in the above-
4 captioned chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”), to amend *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.*
5 *§ 327(e) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014(a) and 2016 for Authority to Retain and Employ Munger,*
6 *Tolles & Olson LLP as Counsel for Certain Matters Effective as of the Petition Date* [Dkt No.
7 1677] (the “**Retention Order**”), as amended by that *Order Amending Order Pursuant to 11*
8 *U.S.C. § 327(e) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014(a) and 2016 for Authority to Retain and Employ*
9 *Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP as Counsel for Certain Matters as of the Petition Date* [Dkt. No.
10 4083] (the “**First Amended Retention Order**”); and this Court having jurisdiction to consider the
11 Application to Amend and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and
12 the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24
13 and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the
14 Northern District of California (the “**Bankruptcy Local Rules**”); and consideration of the Second
15 Application to Amend and the requested relief being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
16 § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and
17 due and proper notice of the Second Application to Amend having been provided to the parties
18 listed therein, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and this Court
19 having reviewed the Second Application to Amend and the Weissmann Declaration; and upon the
20 record of the Hearing (if any was held) and all of the proceedings had before the Court; and this
21 Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Second Application to Amend is
22 in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all parties in interest;
23 and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Second Application to Amend establish just
24 cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing
25 therefor,

26 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 27 1. The Second Application to Amend is granted as provided herein.
- 28

- 1 2. The Retention Order is hereby further amended to provide that the Specific Matters
2 include:
 - 3 a. Representing and advising the Debtors in connection with CPUC Decision No.
4 18-01-022 and any related administrative or civil proceedings;
 - 5 b. Representing and advising the Debtors in connection with any civil,
6 administrative, or criminal investigations or proceedings arising from the
7 Kincade fire.
- 8 3. Subject to the foregoing clarifying amendment and the First Amended Retention Order,
9 the Retention Order remains in effect and is incorporated herein by reference;
- 10 4. Notice of the Second Application to Amend as provided therein shall be deemed good
11 and sufficient notice of the Second Application to Amend;
- 12 5. To the extent the Second Application to Amend is inconsistent with this Order, the
13 terms of this Order shall govern;
- 14 6. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or
15 related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

16 **END OF ORDER**
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28